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Scope of the Problem

• Suicide is 2nd leading cause of death among college students in U.S. \( (CDC; SPRC) \)

• Rates of completed suicide range between 6.5 and 7.5 per 100,000 ►approximately 1,100 completed suicides per year ►about half the rate of a matched cohort from the general population \( (Drum \text{ et al.}, 2009; Silverman \text{ et al.}, 1997; Schwartz, 2011, 2013) \)

• Approximately 1% of undergraduates attempted suicide and 6 - 9% seriously considered suicide in the past 12 months \( (ACHA, 2006, Drum \text{ et al.}, 2009) \)
Scope of the Problem: Limitations

- College student suicide rates are not officially tracked on either a national or state level.

- No information available on numbers/rates of suicide clusters on college/university campuses
SUICIDE CONTAGION/MODELING

-Definition-

Process by which knowledge (direct or indirect) of one suicide facilitates the occurrence of a subsequent suicide.
SUICIDE CONTAGION/MODELING

-Hypothesized Mechanisms*- 

• Social learning theory
• Approach/Avoidance conflict: restraint reduction
• “Social multiplier” that amplifies the effects of other suicidogenic factors
• Emotional contagion: our ability to empathize with others can make us prone to mimicking or adopting others’ behavior, or to confusing others’ emotions with our own

*not an exhaustive list
EMOTIONAL CONTAGION

-Facebook News Feed Experiment-

• For one week in January 2012, Facebook altered the number of positive and negative posts in the news feeds of 689,003 randomly selected users to see what effect the changes had on the tone of the posts the recipients then wrote.

• The people who saw more positive posts responded by writing more positive posts. Similarly, seeing more negative content prompted the viewers to be more negative in their own posts.

• Showed emotions can be spread on social media.

Kramer et al., 2014
SUICIDE CONTAGION/ MODELING

- Sources of evidence -

• Impact of exposure to suicidal peer
• Impact of media
• Suicide clusters
### Impact of Exposure to Suicidal Peer

**Association between suicide attempts or deaths by suicide of a peer and personal suicidal behavior**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Period and population</th>
<th>Methodology/comparison group</th>
<th>Support of modeling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bjornason and Thorlindsson [68]</td>
<td>7018 adolescents from all schools in Iceland, 1992.</td>
<td>Cross-sectional data examined whether a completed and attempted suicide by a friend and being told about suicidal ideation were correlated with past suicide attempt.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blum et al [69]</td>
<td>13,454 American Indian and Alaska Native students, grades 7–12, US, 1989.</td>
<td>Cross-sectional data examined the prevalence of exposure to suicidal peers among high-risk youths (defined as suicide attempted in past year plus current suicide thoughts or multiple attempts regardless of timing) and low-risk youths.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borowsky et al [70]</td>
<td>13,110 students in grades 7–12, US, 1995 and 1996.</td>
<td>Longitudinal data examined whether having a friend attempt or complete suicide (assessed at Time 1) predicted suicide attempt in past 12 months (assessed at Time 2).</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borowsky et al [71]</td>
<td>11,666 American Indian and Alaska native 7–12 grade students, US, 1989.</td>
<td>Cross-sectional data examined whether having a friend who attempted suicide was associated with reporting ever attempting suicide.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brent et al [72]</td>
<td>110 students who were exposed to a suicide cluster in their high school, US, Date not published.</td>
<td>Cross-sectional data compared students with and without suicidality on their relationships with suicide victims.</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impact of Exposure to Suicidal Peer

Association between suicide attempts or deaths by suicide of a peer and personal suicidal behavior

The majority of the studies examining exposure to suicidal behavior of adolescent peers have found a significant association with adolescent suicide attempt.

OR’s range from 2.8 - 11.0 (attempted suicide)

See Insel & Gould, 2008 for review.
IMPACT OF MEDIA

Research finds a greater increase in suicide when:

• Frequency of stories increases (dose-response effect)

• Higher proportion of the population is exposed

• Headlines are dramatic

• Prominence of story increases (e.g. front page)

IMPACT OF MEDIA

Converse effects exist also:

↓ suicide following media guidelines or during newspaper strikes

↓ suicide following articles on suicidal individuals who adopted positive coping strategies rather than suicidal behavior in adverse circumstances: “Papageno effect”

Motto, 1970; Niederkrotenthaler et al., 2010
Content Analyses of Suicide Stories: “Papageno Effect”

Content analysis of 497 suicide-related print media reports published in Austria between January 1 and June 30 2005. Ecological study to identify associations between media item content and short-term changes in suicide rates.

- In multivariate analysis, repetitive reporting, reports about suicides by jumping, and reporting on public myths about suicide associated with increases in suicide rates.

- Media items describing adoption of coping strategies other than suicidal behavior in adverse circumstances were associated with a decrease in suicide rates.

EVIDENCE OF MEDIA GUIDELINES’ EFFECTIVENESS
SUICIDES IN THE VIENNA SUBWAY SYSTEM
- 1980 through 1990 -

I indicates the first six months and II the second six months. The media guidelines of the Austrian Association for Suicide Prevention went into effect in June 1987.

From Etzersdorfer et al, New England Journal of Medicine, Aug. 1992
Suicide Clusters

SIXTH IN STRING OF FATAL FALLS

Joanne Michelle Leavy, 23, is the latest New York University student to die in a fatal fall in less than a year. Here’s a look at the rash of deaths:

- In late June, a graduate student, whose name has not been released, died in a fall from a midtown building.
- Diana Chien, 19, died when she threw herself off the 26th-story roof of her boyfriend’s off-campus apartment building on March 6, after a quarrel.
- Michelle Gluckman, 19, of Brooklyn plunged from six stories into the rear courtyard of a building near Washington Square Park on Oct. 18, 2003. Two students tried to stop Gluckman, a sophomore, but they could not pull her back inside the building.
- Freshman Stephen Bohler, 18, leaped to his death from a 10th-floor balcony in the Elmer Bobst Library on Washington Square South on Oct. 10, 2003. The death of the swim team member from Dayton, Ohio, initially was believed to be a suicide. But the medical examiner ruled it an accident after an autopsy revealed hallucinogenic mushrooms and marijuana in Bohler’s system.
- Jeff Skolnik, 19, a student from Evanston, Ill., climbed over the same balcony and jumped to his death as other students watched in horror on Sept. 12, 2003.

Dave Goldiner
SUICIDE CLUSTER

Excessive number of suicides occurring in close temporal and/or geographical proximity.
Suicide Cluster Studies

1st Generation: Descriptive/Anecdotal reports
(What?)

2nd Generation: Statistical Studies
(Is it “really” a cluster?)

3rd Generation: Psychological Autopsy
(Mechanism? Why?)
Clusters occur primarily among teenagers and young adults

PSYCHOLOGICAL AUTOPSY OF CLUSTER SUICIDES IN ADOLESCENTS

Project funded by the National Institute of Mental Health (R01MH47559) and American Foundation for Suicide Prevention

Psychological Autopsy of Cluster Suicides in Adolescents

Targeted Clusters
1988-1996

- 53 Clusters -
DEFINITION OF CLUSTER

• Significant excess of suicides
• Town/city of residence/occurrence
• Within 3 month period
• Limited to communities <500,000
## SIZE OF CLUSTER

N=53

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of Cluster</th>
<th>Number of Clusters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MATCHING CRITERIA FOR CONTROLS

• Date of death by suicide within +/- 1 year, but not within 3-month cluster period

• Same state, non-contiguous counties

• Population size of 13-20 years-olds “nearest neighbor method”

• SMSA status

• Age within range of cluster cases
ASSESSMENTS

Sources of Information:

• Parent or adult in household
• Peer or sibling
• Coroner’s reports
• Police records
• Census data
• Newspaper review
DOES THE MEDIA PLAY A ROLE IN TRIGGERING A CLUSTER?

Newspaper coverage of suicide and initiation of suicide clusters in teenagers in the USA, 1988–96: a retrospective, population-based, case-control study

Madelyn S Gould, Marjorie H Kleinman, Alison M Lake, Judith Forman, Jennifer Bassett Midle

Summary
Background Public health and clinical efforts to prevent suicide clusters are seriously hampered by the unanswered question of why such outbreaks occur. We aimed to establish whether an environmental factor—newspaper reports of suicide—has a role in the emergence of suicide clusters.
Design and Analytic Elements

• National population-based clusters identified by statistical techniques

• Matched sample of non-cluster suicides and communities (2 for each cluster community)

• Comprehensive identification of newspapers in each community (469 newspapers)

• Reliable content analysis of newspaper stories (1,729 stories)

• Sensitivity analyses and examination of confounding factors
Content Analyses of Suicide Stories: Initiation of Clusters?

To identify specific features in media suicide reports that may contribute to the *initiation of teenage suicide clusters*, we developed a content analytic strategy of qualitative characteristics abstracted from newspaper stories. 48 youth cluster communities (648 stories) compared to 95 non-cluster communities (1,1081 stories).

↑ number of stories about any suicidal individual

↑ number of stories about the teen suicide in our study
Content Analyses of Suicide Stories: Initiation of Clusters?

- Results: Story Characteristics

Stories published after the index cluster suicides compared to those published after non-cluster suicides:

- ↑ front page story placement,
- ↑ size of headlines,
- ↑ headlines containing the word suicide
- ↑ headlines containing a description of the methods
- ↑ sensational headlines
- ↑ presence of picture
- ↑ detailed descriptions of the suicidal individual and act
Implications for Prevention and Postvention
Suicide Prevention and Postvention Strategies on Campuses (I)

• In recognition of the need for proactive suicide prevention and postvention policies, the Garret Lee Smith (GLS) program was authorized in 2005 to provide federal funding to campuses (in addition to other entities) for the establishment of suicide prevention and postvention trainings and protocols.
Suicide Prevention and Postvention Strategies on Campuses (II)

However,

• Between October 2008 and June 2014 only 21 of 121 campus grantees had developed postvention-related policies (*GLS Cross-Site Evaluation FY 2014 Annual Report*).

• Between October 2007 and June 2014 only 6 campuses had conducted related postvention trainings (*GLS Postvention Information*).
# Suicide Prevention Strategies on Campuses: Allocation of GLS Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Campus (n=102)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outreach and awareness</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gatekeeper training</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment and referral training</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life skills development</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening programs</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotlines and helplines</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Means restriction</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policies and protocols</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coalitions and partnerships</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other strategies</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suicide Postvention Strategies on Campuses

• Little empirical research has been conducted on the best practices for suicide postvention.

• Recently, the Higher Education Mental Health Alliance (HEMHA) disseminated a new postvention guide for college suicide.

  http://hemha.org/postvention_guide.pdf
Suicide Postvention Strategies on Campuses: HEMHA Goals

- Facilitate the grieving or adjustment process
- Stabilize the environment
- Reduce the risk of negative behaviors
- Limit the risk of further suicides through contagion
Suicide Postvention Strategies on Campuses: Specific Recommendations to Limit Contagion

- Adhere to media guidelines in the wake of a suicide
- Shape students’ desire to “do something”
- Initiate online postvention
- Assess community risk
- Address myths and misinformation
Postvention Recommendations:

I. Adhere to media guidelines in the wake of a suicide
Postvention Recommendations:
I. Adhere to media guidelines in the wake of a suicide

• Limit publicity – in national, local and school newspapers;

• Shape content:
  ↓ front page story placement,
  ↓ size of headlines,
  ↓ headlines containing the word suicide….etc.

• Tip the balance of the media effect in favor of “Papageno effect” rather than “Werther effect.”
Postvention Recommendations:
I. Adhere to media guidelines in the wake of a suicide

Getting a side bar box with the National Suicide Prevention Lifeline and other resources, and warning signs is recommended

Need help?

24-hour suicide hotline: Call (800) 273-8255

Online: chat at suicidepreventionlifeline.org

Warning signs of suicide: anxiety, sleep problems and unrelenting down moods
Postvention Recommendations:

II. Shape students’ desire to “do something”

- Need to balance needs of mourners, while minimizing likelihood of contagion
- Encourage stories of resilience, and help seeking for school paper
- Encourage volunteering at nearest Lifeline crisis center (rather than starting a new crisis line) – CrisisLink, approx. 4 miles away in Arlington, VA
- Encourage participating in AFSP’s “Out of Darkness” walks
Postvention Recommendations: 

III. Initiate online postvention

• When implementing postvention strategies, it is important to also consider the role of the internet and to ensure that postvention initiatives also target existing online communities.

• Responsible postvention initiatives should utilize social networking sites to 1) distribute relevant information and resources, and 2) monitor comments from individuals connected to the bereaved.

Postvention Recommendations:

III. Initiate online postvention

- Work with families of the deceased to bypass any privacy settings that would prohibit online postvention activities

- *Find Social Media Profiles*: The first step is to determine if and where the recent suicide death is being discussed online

- *Post Resources*: Once the deceased’s profile has been identified and accessed, the next step is to post resources in the comments section of the social media profile or on the profile itself.
Postvention Recommendations:

III. Initiate online postvention

- MY3 Suicide Prevention App
  (download at suicidepreventionlifeline.org or Apple App Store or Google Play)
Postvention Recommendations:

IV. Assess community risk

- 46% of college students choose not to share their suicidal ideation with anyone (Drum et al., 2009)

- College students’ unwillingness to reveal suicidal ideation to others could be compounded by their fellows’ low confidence in their ability to help and poor knowledge of on-campus resources (King et al., 2008)

- Consider implement AFSP’s online Interactive Screening Program (ISP) (http://www.afsp.org/the-interactive-screening-program)
Postvention Recommendations:

V. Address myths and misinformation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suicide Myth or Fact</th>
<th>Correct Response</th>
<th>Average % Students Answering Correctly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If someone is exposed to a suicide (family, friends, other students) this increases their own risk for attempting suicide.</td>
<td>True</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The experience of physical, sexual and/or emotional abuse puts one at greater risk for attempting suicide.</td>
<td>True</td>
<td>96.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social isolation/withdrawal is a risk factor for suicide attempt.</td>
<td>True</td>
<td>95.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopelessness is a risk factor for attempting suicide.</td>
<td>True</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People who have attempted suicide are less likely to attempt suicide in the future.</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>94.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Someone who has aggressive or impulsive tendencies is at lower risk for suicide attempt.</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People who are depressed are more likely to attempt suicide.</td>
<td>True</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If a person attempted suicide, their situation was probably so bad that death was the best solution.</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>90.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A fellow student with sleep problems is at increased risk for attempting suicide.</td>
<td>True</td>
<td>58.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most suicidal people never discuss their problems with others.</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The great majority of people who commit suicide do not have psychiatric or substance use disorders.</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing access to firearms and other lethal weapons reduces the risk of suicide.</td>
<td>True</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes a minor event (like a bad exam grade) can push an otherwise normal person to attempt suicide.</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SPEAKS, 2007-2012; Campus Cohorts 1-5.
Conclusion

• Given the prevalence of suicide on college campuses, and the associated risk of contagion and clustering, it is imperative that colleges develop effective and comprehensive postvention strategies.
Resources

• http://reportingonsuicide.org
• http://hemha.org/postvention_guide.pdf
• http://www.afsp.org/the-interactive-screening-program